2 June 1986

	Office of Congressional	Affairs	
ROM:	C/MSRB/CRD		
UBJECT:	Review of WW II Library	of Congress Documen	ts
EFERENCE:	OCA 86-1754		
l. We rev	iewed the attached sampli	ing of WW II Library	of Congress
ocuments.	•		
no CIA/OSS equi its declassific	than passing references ties in this sampling of ation. We would be glad	material, and we have to review the	ve no objection to
no CIA/OSS equits declassificate ports for post of OSS personned as Most rolling (MID).	ties in this sampling of	material, and we have to review the at may be classified, nowledged.  involve the Military gence viewpoint we do	ne no objection to including names Information not believe that
no CIA/OSS equits declassificate ports for post of OSS personned as Most rolling (MID).	ties in this sampling of tation. We would be glad sible OSS information the late that have not been ack eferences to secret work From a general intelli- erences require continued	material, and we have to review the at may be classified, nowledged.  involve the Military gence viewpoint we do	ne no objection to including names Information not believe that
no CIA/OSS equits declassificate ports for post of OSS personned as Most rolling (MID).	ties in this sampling of tation. We would be glad sible OSS information the late that have not been ack eferences to secret work From a general intelli- erences require continued	material, and we have to review the at may be classified, nowledged.  involve the Military gence viewpoint we do	ne no objection to including names Information not believe that
no CIA/OSS equits declassificate ports for post of OSS personned as Most rolling (MID).	ties in this sampling of tation. We would be glad sible OSS information the late that have not been ack eferences to secret work From a general intelli- erences require continued	material, and we have to review the at may be classified, nowledged.  involve the Military gence viewpoint we do	ne no objection to including names Information not believe that
no CIA/OSS equits declassificate ports for post of OSS personned as Most rolling (MID).	ties in this sampling of tation. We would be glad sible OSS information the late that have not been ack eferences to secret work From a general intelli- erences require continued	material, and we have to review the at may be classified, nowledged.  involve the Military gence viewpoint we do protection, but we we	ne no objection to including names Information not believe that
no CIA/OSS equits declassificate ports for post of OSS personned as Most rolling (MID).	ties in this sampling of tation. We would be glad sible OSS information the late that have not been ack eferences to secret work From a general intelli- erences require continued	material, and we have to review the at may be classified, nowledged.  involve the Military gence viewpoint we do protection, but we we	ne no objection to including names Information not believe that
no CIA/OSS equiits declassific reports for pos of OSS personne 3. Most r Division (MID). any of the refe Department of D	ties in this sampling of tation. We would be glad sible OSS information the late that have not been ack eferences to secret work From a general intelli- erences require continued	material, and we have to review the at may be classified, nowledged.  involve the Military gence viewpoint we do protection, but we we	ne no objection to including names Information not believe that
no CIA/OSS equiits declassific reports for pos of OSS personne 3. Most r Division (MID). any of the refe Department of D	ties in this sampling of tation. We would be glad sible OSS information the late that have not been ack eferences to secret work From a general intelli- erences require continued	material, and we have to review the at may be classified, nowledged.  involve the Military gence viewpoint we do protection, but we we	ne no objection to including names Information not believe that

2 June 1986

## Distribution:

Orig - Addressee w/att.

1 - CRD Chrono

1 \_ CRD Liaison with OCA